Message

From: Goodis, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=50ED0B92DC4945B7A808FE8DBC9224F0-MICHAEL GOODIS]

Sent: 10/28/2020 10:41:21 PM

To: Messina, Edward [Messina.Edward@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

Good call! This one is heating up.

Michele called me all frustrated after I shared RD's points (mainly Dan's) on the 24c issue. She felt I was stirring things up. I just wanted others to be aware as I explained in my note.

No problem – Michele and I go way back. ⁽³⁾

Things are still hopping even after the decision so folks are still stressed – and understandably.

Michael L. Goodis, P.E.
Acting Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C.
703-308-8157

From: Messina, Edward < Messina. Edward@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 5:48 PM **To:** Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov> **Subject:** RE: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

I just overstepped....

Ed Messina, Esq.
Acting Office Director
Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety & Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C.
p: (703) 347-0209

From: Goodis, Michael <<u>Goodis.Michael@epa.gov</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 5:47 PM
To: Messina, Edward <<u>Messina.Edward@epa.gov</u>>
Subject: FW: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

I think they should but don't want to overstep

Michael L. Goodis, P.E.
Acting Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, D.C. 703-308-8157

From: Echeverria, Marietta < Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 5:41 PM **To:** Goodis, Michael < Goodis, Michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Messina, Edward < Messina, Edward@epa.gov>; Kenny, Daniel < Kenny, Dan@epa.gov>; Rosenblatt, Daniel

<Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov>; Hathaway, Margaret < Hathaway.Margaret@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

Can RD participate in the Friday meeting with OGC?

From: Goodis, Michael < Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 5:40 PM

To: Echeverria, Marietta < Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov

Cc: Messina, Edward < Messina. Edward@epa.gov>; Kenny, Daniel < Kenny. Dan@epa.gov>; Rosenblatt, Daniel

<<u>Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov</u>>; Hathaway, Margaret <<u>Hathaway.Margaret@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

Not discussed during the general today.

A meeting is scheduled for Fri morning to discuss with OGC.

Michael L. Goodis, P.E.

Acting Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pesticide Programs

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, D.C.

703-308-8157

From: Echeverria, Marietta < Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 5:26 PM **To:** Goodis, Michael < Goodis. Michael @epa.gov>

Cc: Messina, Edward < Messina. Edward@epa.gov>; Kenny, Daniel < Kenny. Dan@epa.gov>; Rosenblatt, Daniel

<Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov>; Hathaway, Margaret <Hathaway.Margaret@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

Mike – did you get feedback from Alex at the general today? I recall that Dan R pulled information for you this morning to prepare for that discussion. thanks

From: Hathaway, Margaret < Hathaway. Margaret @epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 5:23 PM

To: Echeverria, Marietta < Echeverria. Marietta @epa.gov>

Cc: Kenny, Daniel < Kenny. Dan@epa.gov>; Rosenblatt, Daniel < Rosenblatt. Dan@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

Here's the email chain on the 24c asks from the Progressive Farmer.

From: Garrison, Scott < Garrison.Scott@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 11:54 AM

To: Hathaway, Margaret < Hathaway. Margaret@epa.gov>; Kenny, Daniel < Kenny. Dan@epa.gov>; Lara, Rhina

<Lara.Rhina@epa.gov>

Cc: Rosenblatt, Daniel < Rosenblatt. Dan@epa.gov>; Knorr, Michele < knorr.michele@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

Confidential communication for internal deliberations only. Attorney-client privilege. Do not distribute outside U.S. Government.

Ex. 5 Attorney Client (AC)

Scott Garrison

Pesticides and Toxic Substances Law Office (2333A)

Office of General Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

202-564-4047

garrison.scott@epa.gov

From: Hathaway, Margaret < Hathaway. Margaret@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 10:37 AM

To: Kenny, Daniel < Kenny Dan@epa.gov>; Lara, Rhina < Lara Rhina@epa.gov>

Cc: Rosenblatt, Daniel < Rosenblatt. Dan@epa.gov>; Knorr, Michele < knorr.michele@epa.gov>; Garrison, Scott

<Garrison.Scott@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

I agree OGC should be aware of this inquiry. Scott and Michelle, we've received a series of questions re. 24cs and the dicamba decision.

From: Kenny, Daniel < Kenny. Dan@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 10:32 AM

To: Lara, Rhina <Lara.Rhina@epa.gov>; Hathaway, Margaret <Hathaway.Margaret@epa.gov>

Cc: Rosenblatt, Daniel < Rosenblatt. Dan@epa.gov > Subject: RE: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

This is a tricky one. I'm looping in Dan R, because I think he's more up to date on the 24(c) issue. We will probably also need to loop in OGC (Michele Knorr).

From: Lara, Rhina < Lara Rhina@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2020 10:03 AM

To: Hathaway, Margaret < Hathaway, Margaret@epa.gov>

Cc: Kenny, Daniel < Kenny. Dan@epa.gov>

Subject: Press Inquiry - Dicamba - DDL 10/29 COB

Importance: High

Hi Dan and Meg,

We got this one from Progressive Farmer. Unfortunately, I am not up to speed on where we left off regarding Section 24© (I know there were some updates recently), so I am sorry for not taking a crack at a response for these.

- 1. Does the Tuesday dicamba registration decision and announcement re: FIFRA Section 24(c) mark an official change in EPA's position on states' use of Section 24(c)? And if so, has EPA decided to skip the public comment period it committed to on this topic in the spring of 2019?
- 2. Will this stance on 24c (no state restrictions, only expansions) be applied by EPA uniformly to all future state SLN labels on all pesticides, beyond dicamba?
- 3. Has EPA's position on this been prompted or influenced by the EPA's Inspector General investigation into states' use of Section 24(c)?
- 4. Via AAPCO, state regulators have directly petitioned EPA not to take this stance on Section 24(c), which will greatly limit states' ability to react quickly to new dicamba labels, given the cumbersome and time-consuming process of state rulemaking permitted via 24(a). Did EPA consult with state regulators or inform them of this new stance (no restrictions via 24 (c)) before the dicamba decision? Because the state regulators I have spoken to seem taken completely off guard by this announcement by their federal co-regulators.

Best,

Rhina M. Lara (she/her/hers)
Communications Branch
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency